Class 8: Stellar feedback ASTR 4008 / 8008, Semester 2, 2020

Mark Krumholz

Outline

- Formalism
 - IMF averaging
 - "Energy" versus "momentum" feedback
- Feedback menagerie:
 - Radiation and radiatively-driven winds
 - Protostellar outflows
 - Photoionisation
 - Trapped stellar winds
 - Supernovae

IMF-averaged outputs A tool to think about feedback budgets

- Write IMF as $\xi(m) = m dn/dm = dn / d \ln m$, normalised so $\int \xi(m) dm = 1$
- Mean stellar mass = $\int m \xi(m) d \ln m / \int \xi(m) d \ln m = 1 / \int \xi(m) d \ln m$
- Suppose that we know the rate q at which stars of a given mass and age produce a quantity Q (e.g., Q = radiated energy, q = luminosity)
- Given mass of stars M of age t, production rate is $q(t) = M \int \xi(m) q(m, t) d \ln m$
- Define IMF-averaged production rate per unit mass $\langle q/M \rangle = \int \xi(m) q(m, t) d \ln m$
- Similarly, IMF-averaged yield yield / mass $\langle Q/M \rangle = \iint \xi(m) q(m, t) d \ln m dt$

Energy-driven vs. momentum-driven feedback An important distinction

- Consider stars that "turn on" at t = 0 and produce "wind" with momentum and energy output per unit time \dot{p}_w and \dot{E}_w
- The is drives an expanding spherical shell around the stars; two cases: • Shell conserves momentum, but most energy lost to radiation:

 $p_{\rm sh} = M_{\rm sh} v_{\rm sh} = \dot{p}_w t$

- Shell conserves energy, little lost to radiation:
- Energy is greater in energy-conserv
- This may be big: for light (a photon "wind"), this factor is $\approx 2c/v_{\rm sh}$

$$\implies E = \frac{p_{\rm sh}^2}{2M_{\rm sh}} = \frac{1}{2}v_{\rm sh}\dot{p}_w t$$
to radiation: $E = \dot{E} t$

ring case by
$$rac{1}{v_{
m sh}}rac{2\dot{E}_w}{\dot{p}_w}$$



Radiation pressure feedback Basics

- Most unavoidable feedback is radiation pressure: when stars form, they emit light, which pushes on the material around them
- Most energy from young stars is in the UV, and even a dust-poor galaxy will absorb a reasonable fraction of this
- A large fraction of energy comes out in first ~5 Myr, when massive stars are alive and shining
- Once absorbed, energy re-emitted in IR and most escapes due to low dust opacity in IR, so this is a mostly momentum-driven feedback (possible exception when we discuss massive stars)

Radiation pressure Budgets

- IMF-averaged luminosity and momentum: $\left\langle \frac{L}{M} \right\rangle = 1140 \, \frac{L_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}} \qquad \left\langle \frac{p_{\rm rad}}{M} \right\rangle$
- light to accelerate another gr of mass to 23 km s⁻¹ in 1 Myr
- Integrated output:

 $\left\langle \frac{E_{\rm rad}}{M} \right\rangle = 1.1 \times 10^{51} \,\,{\rm erg} \,\,M_{\odot}^{-1} \qquad \epsilon = \frac{1}{c^2}$

$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{c} \left\langle \frac{L}{M} \right\rangle = 23 \mathrm{\ km\ s^{-1}\ Myr^{-1}}$$

Meaning: for each gr of mass that goes into stars, those star produce enough

$$\left\langle \frac{E_{\rm rad}}{M} \right\rangle = 6.2 \times 10^{-4} \qquad \left\langle \frac{p_{\rm rad}}{M} \right\rangle = 190 \ \rm km \ s^{-1}$$

• Meaning: for each gr of mass going into stars, those stars release $\sim 10^{-3}$ of their rest mass as light, which can accelerate another gr of mass to 190 km s⁻¹

Protostellar outflows Basics

- the incoming mass into a wind
- Typical wind speed is Keplerian speed at stellar surface:
- Post-shock temperature after wind hits ISM and stops is

Mean mass / free particle;

rapidly; thus outflows are momentum-driven, not energy-driven

When a star forms, it is fed by an accretion disc; the disc launches ~10% of

$v_w \approx \sqrt{\frac{GM_*}{R_*}} = 250 \text{ km s}^{-1} \left(\frac{M_*}{M_\odot}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R_*}{R_\odot}\right)^{-1/2}$

=0.61 in fully-ionised gas
$$T = \frac{\mu m_{\rm H} v_w^2}{3k_B} \sim 5 \times 10^6 ~{\rm K}$$

This is low enough that, at high density found near star, gas can cool fairly

Protostel ar outflows Budgets

- outflow is $f m / t_{form}$, $f \sim 0.1 - 0.2$
- IMF-averaged momentum budget $\left\langle \frac{p_w}{M} \right\rangle = \int \xi(m) \int_{0}^{t_{\text{form}}} \frac{fmv_K}{t_{\text{form}}} dt d\ln m$
- Approximate f and $v_K \sim \text{constant during formation}$: $\left\langle \frac{p_w}{M} \right\rangle = f v_K \int \xi(m) m \, d \ln m = f v_K$
- Momentum budget ~10s of km s⁻¹, smaller than radiation by factor ~10
- However, outflow momentum comes out over $t_{form} \sim 0.1$ Myr, compared to ~5 Myr for radiation, so much stronger while outflows are on

Consider a star of mass *m* that forms over time *t*_{form}; mean mass flux in

Supernovae

- w/energies of ~10⁵¹ erg; 1 SN per 100 M_o of stars
- hot gas like winds, which eventually cools due to adiabatic losses
- - momentum budget $\langle p / M \rangle \approx 3000$ km s⁻¹
 - probably by < a factor of 10
- form

• Majority of stars over ~8-9 M_{\odot} end their lives as core-collapse SNe, exploding

• Ejecta velocity higher than winds, so cooling time is long - drives a bubble of

 Terminal momentum of bubble must be determined by numerical simulations: • For isolated SNe, strong consensus: terminal $p \approx 3 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ km s⁻¹, so

• Significant uncertainty for clustered SNe; yield may be higher, though

However, there is a long time delay: no feedback at all until ~5 Myr after stars



Photoionisation Basics

- When massive stars form, they produce a large flux of ionising (>13.6 eV) photons: $\langle S / M \rangle \approx 6 \times 10^{46}$ photons s⁻¹ M_o⁻¹
- where ionisations and recombinations are in balance
- explosive expansion follows

• Cross section of these photons with a neutral H atom is huge (~10⁻¹⁸ cm⁻²), so mean free path is tiny - all photons absorbed, creating a bubble of ionised gas

• Typical temperatures in these ionised regions, called HII regions, are $\sim 10^4$ K – set by balance between collisional cooling and heating by ionising photons

Regions where stars form are typically at ~10 K, so when an HII region first forms, it is overpressured compared to its surroundings by a factor of $\sim 10^3$ –

The Strömgren radius



- For a typical O star (S ~ 10^{49} s⁻¹) and density ($n_H \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), $r_S \sim \text{few pc}$
- Sound speed in ionised region is

$$c_i = \sqrt{(1.1 + f_e)} \frac{k_B T_i}{\mu m_{\rm H}} \approx 10 \ \rm km \ s^{-1}$$

Dynamics of the shell **Physical considerations**

- As shell expands, density of ionised interior region must drop in order to maintain ionisation balance: $\rho_i = \sqrt{3S\mu^2 m_{\rm H}^2/4\pi f_e \alpha_B r_i^3}$
- Density $\rho_i \sim r_i^{-3/2}$, ionised mass $\sim r_i^{3/2}$, but swept up mass $\sim r_i^3$, so once $r_i \gg$ initial radius, almost all mass is in the shell: $M_{\rm sh} \approx (4\pi/3) \rho_0 r_i^3$ initial density
- Motion of shell determined by momentum conservation: $\frac{d}{dt} (M_{\rm sh} \dot{r}_i) = 4\pi r_i^2 \rho_i c_i^2$
- Rewrite problem entirely in terms of shell position:

 $\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{3}r_i^3\dot{r}_i\right) = c_i^2 r_i^2 \left(\frac{r_i}{m}\right)^{-3/2}$ dt $\mathbf{3}$

 $r_{S,0}$ /

Strömgren radius evaluated at initial density

HI region expansion Similarity solution

• ODE
$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{3}r_i^3\dot{r}_i\right) = c_i^2r_i^2\left(\frac{r_i}{r_{S,0}}\right)^{-3/2}$$
 can

- First step: make change of variables, by letting $x = r_i / r_{s,0}$, $\tau = t c_i / r_{s,0}$, so ODE becomes $\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(x^3\frac{dx}{d\tau}\right) = 3x^{1/2}$
- Now look for a solution of the form $x = f \tau^{\eta}$
- Substituting in this trial form, one can solve for η and f. Solution is

$$x = \left(\frac{49}{12}\tau^2\right)^{2/7}$$

be solved by a similarity solution

$$\Rightarrow r_i = r_{S,0} \left(\frac{7tc_i}{2\sqrt{3}r_{S,0}}\right)^{4/7}$$

Feedback effects of HII regions Mass and momentum budgets

• In reality most HII regions are not spherical — ionised gas escapes the cloud through low-density channels. Associated mass flux is $\dot{M} \approx 4\pi r_i^2 \rho_i c_i = 4\pi r_{S,0}^2 \rho_0 c_i \left(\frac{7tc_i}{2\sqrt{3}r_{S,0}}\right)^{2/7} = 7.3 \times 10^{-3} t_6^{2/7} S_{49}^{4/7} n_2^{-1/7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ $\frac{1}{10^6 \text{ yr}} n/10^2 \text{ cm}^3, \text{ where } n = \text{ initial provides the second s$

$$\dot{M} \approx 4\pi r_i^2 \rho_i c_i = 4\pi r_{S,0}^2 \rho_0 c_i$$

- Over ~4 Myr lifetime of O star, can ejected ~10⁴ M $_{\odot}$ of gas!
- Momentum carried by shell is $p_{\rm sh} = M_{\rm sh} \dot{r}_i = 1.1 \times 10^5 t_6^{9/7} S_{49}^{4/7} n_2^{-1/7} M_{\odot} \text{ km s}^{-1}$
- We get ~1 shell this size per ~300 M $_{\odot}$ of stars formed, lasting for ~4 Myr, to total momentum budget of HII regions is $\langle p / M \rangle \sim 3000$ km s⁻¹, ~10 × larger than for radiation

number density

Hot stellar winds Basics

- Wind carries ~half the radiative momentum, so mechanism luminosity is

- Due to high speed, post-shock temperature 10 100 × larger than for protostellar outflows, so gas does not rapidly cool
- Wind can blow a bubble with a shell at its edge, similar to HII region

• O stars launch winds at ~1000-2000 km s⁻¹, with mass fluxes ~10⁻⁷ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, accelerated by radiation pressure on metal atoms in stellar atmosphere



Hot stellar winds **Bubble solution**

 Assume no losses to radiation, ~half wind energy in shell (other half in interior); then we have

(1/2) x bubble mass x velocity²

- Can be solved by similarity solution just like HII region; solution is
- exists, it dominates the expansion, not the photoionised gas pressure

$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \pi \rho_0 r_b^3 \dot{r}_b^2 \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} L_w$ Wind mechanical luminosity

 $r_b = \left(\frac{25L_w t^3}{12\pi\rho_0}\right)^{1/3} \approx \left(\frac{25L_*^2 t^3}{06\pi\dot{M}}\right)^{1/3}$

• Wind bubble generally larger than HII region at equal time, so, if wind bubble

Hot stellar winds The trapping factor

- Main uncertainty: is shocked stellar wind gas trapped, or does it leak out of bubble?
- Since wind and radiation have ~equal momentum, can use result from E-driven vs p-driven expansion: if shocked gas it trapped, its pressure should be larger than radiation pressure by $\sim v_w / v_b$
- Define trapping factor $f_{trap} = P_w / P_{rad}$ measure from X-ray and optical data
- Observations suggest $f_{trap} \sim 1$, so winds leak



