

1. Although all ionised gas emits brehmsstrahlung, in practice the emission tends to be dominated by the coolest phases that are still ionised.
  - (a) As a first step, consider a region of pure, ionised hydrogen within which the pressure is  $P$ . Rewrite the free-free emissivity and absorption coefficient in terms of  $P$  and  $T$ , i.e., eliminate  $n_e$  and  $n_i$ .
  - (b) Most of the gas at the Galactic midplane is at about the same pressure ( $P/k_B \approx 3000 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$ ), dictated the weight of the Galactic disc. How do the free-free emissivity and absorption coefficient scale with temperature at fixed pressure? Can you see why the coolest phases dominate?
  - (c) Suppose that there is a total mass  $M_i$  of ionised H in the disc of the Milky Way, and that this gas is arranged in a disc with a thickness  $L \sim 500 \text{ pc}$ , with pressure  $P/k_B \approx 3000 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$ . What is the approximate total free-free luminosity of the disc? For the purposes of this problem, you can approximate  $\bar{g}_{\text{ff}}$  as constant, and neglect the effects of opacity.
2. When a free electron recombines with a proton, this produces radiation, which leaves the gas. This is therefore a cooling mechanism.
  - (a) Make an order of magnitude estimate the rate of cooling per unit volume due to recombinations in a gas of pure, fully-ionised hydrogen with density  $n$  and temperature  $T$ . Important hint: cooling means loss of *kinetic* energy, not binding energy – so the 13.6 eV binding energy of the H that is radiated away doesn't count as cooling, only the associated kinetic energy that is lost.
  - (b) Compare this cooling rate to the rate at which the gas loses energy by free-free cooling – you can estimate the latter just by integrating the free-emissivity over all frequencies, and neglecting opacity effects. Again, approximate  $\bar{g}_{\text{ff}}$  as constant. Which is a more important cooling process, free-free or recombination?