

Dark Molecular Gas in Simulations of $z \sim 0$ Disk Galaxies

Qi Li¹, Desika Narayanan^{1,2,3}, Romeel Dave^{4,5,6}, and Mark R. Krumholz^{7,8}

¹ Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, 211 Bryant Space Sciences Center, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA; pg3552@ufl.edu

University of Florida Informatics Institute, 432 Newell Drive, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA

³ Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN), Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Juliane Maries vej 30, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark ⁴ Institute for Astronomy, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK

⁵ University of the Western Cape, Bellville, Cape Town 7535, South Africa

⁶ South African Astronomical Observatory, Cape Town 7925, South Africa

⁷ Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra 2611, ACT, Australia

Centre of Excellence for Astronomy in Three Dimensions (ASTRO-3D), Australia

Received 2018 August 9; revised 2018 September 30; accepted 2018 October 25; published 2018 December 13

Abstract

The H₂ mass of molecular clouds has traditionally been traced by the CO(J = 1-0) rotational transition line. This said, CO is relatively easily photodissociated and can also be destroyed by cosmic rays, thus rendering some fraction of molecular gas to be "CO-dark." We investigate the amount and physical properties of CO-dark gas in two $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies and develop predictions for the expected intensities of promising alternative tracers ([C I] 609 μ m and [C II] 158 μ m emission). We do this by combining cosmological zoom simulations of disk galaxies with thermalradiative-chemical equilibrium interstellar medium (ISM) calculations to model the predicted H I and H₂ abundances and CO (J = 1-0), [C I] 609 μ m, and [C II] 158 μ m emission properties. Our model treats the ISM as a collection of radially stratified clouds whose properties are dictated by their volume and column densities, the gas-phase metallicity, and the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) and CR ionization rates. Our main results follow. Adopting an observationally motivated definition of CO-dark gas, i.e., H₂ gas with $W_{CO} < 0.1 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$, we find that a significant amount (\gtrsim 50%) of the total H₂ mass lies in CO-dark gas, most of which is diffuse gas, poorly shielded due to low dust column density. The CO-dark molecular gas tends to be dominated by [C II], though [C I] also serves as a bright tracer of the dark gas in many instances. At the same time, [C II] also tends to trace neutral atomic gas. As a result, when we quantify the conversion factors for the three carbon-based tracers of molecular gas, we find that [C I] suffers the least contamination from diffuse atomic gas and is relatively insensitive to secondary parameters.

Key words: astrochemistry – galaxies: ISM – ISM: molecules – methods: numerical

1. Introduction

Star formation as observed in the local universe occurs exclusively in giant clouds of molecular hydrogen (H₂; e.g., Lada & Lada 2003; Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Krumholz 2014). While H₂ is the most abundant constituent of these giant molecular clouds (GMCs), its low mass requires temperatures of \sim 500 K to excite the first quadrapole line. As a result, direct H₂ emission from the relatively cold ($T \sim 10\text{--}30$ K) interstellar clouds is relatively faint.

With a typical abundance of $\sim 10^{-4} \times H_2$ (Lee et al. 1996), ¹²CO (hereafter CO) is the second most abundant molecule in GMCs and used as a common tracer of the underlying molecular hydrogen. The luminosity from the ground rotational state of CO (CO J = 1-0) is typically used to convert to an H₂ mass via a CO-H₂ conversion factor,

$$X_{\rm CO} = \frac{W_{\rm CO}}{N_{\rm H}},\tag{1}$$

where $W_{\rm CO}$ is the velocity-integrated CO intensity (in K km s⁻¹), and $N_{\rm H}$ is the H₂ column density.

The value of this CO-H₂ conversion factor is hotly debated (see the reviews by Bolatto et al. 2013 and Casey et al. 2014). Fundamentally, there are two issues. First, even at a fixed CO abundance, the velocity-integrated CO intensity $W_{\rm CO}$ depends on the physical properties (kinetic temperature and velocity dispersion) of the gas (Narayanan et al. 2011, 2012). These vary both within and among galaxies and therefore can drive variations in X_{CO} accordingly (e.g., Shetty et al. 2011a, 2011b; Feldmann et al. 2012). Second, CO can be both photodissociated and destroyed by cosmic rays (CRs) via collisions with He⁺ (Bisbas et al. 2015; Gong et al. 2017, 2018; Narayanan & Krumholz 2017). Because of this, there can be molecular gas that is significantly depleted in CO. Understanding the origin and physical properties of this so-called "CO-dark molecular gas" is the main purpose of this investigation.

Indeed, observational studies have uncovered dark molecular gas in the Milky Way and nearby galaxies. The principal methods for characterizing dark gas thus far include the detection of H₂ gas via γ -ray observations (e.g., Grenier et al. 2005), dust continuum emission (e.g., Planck Collaboration et al. 2011), and C⁺ 158 μ m emission (Pineda et al. 2013, 2014; Langer et al. 2014a, 2014b). Defining gas with a CO intensity weaker than some threshold as CO dark, these methods have found that as much as $\sim 30\% - 70\%$ of the molecular gas in the Galaxy may be in a CO-dark phase.

Potential tracers of CO-dark molecular gas include the [C I] ${}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ 609 μm line and the [C II] ${}^{3}P_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{1/2}$ 158 μm line. Both C I and C⁺ are natural by-products of the ultravioletinduced photodissociation of CO, or CR-driven ion-neutral reaction (e.g., Bisbas et al. 2015; Narayanan & Krumholz 2017). The C^+ can be excited by collisions with a variety of partners, i.e., electrons, H I, and H₂ (Goldsmith et al. 2012; Herrera-Camus et al. 2015). Because it can be excited by collisions with all of these partners, and because the low ionization potential of C (11.3 eV) renders C⁺ the dominant form of carbon in most of the neutral interstellar medium (ISM), [C II] emission can arise from nearly every phase of the ISM. This said, Pineda et al. (2014) used *Herschel* observations to constrain the origin of the bulk of Galactic [C II] emission as coming from molecular gas. Similarly, Olsen et al. (2015) suggested (via numerical simulations) that this is likely true even for $z \sim 2$ main-sequence galaxies. Even so, at lower metallicities, the fraction of [C II] emission that originates from ionized gas may increase (Olsen et al. 2017).

Similarly, the [C I] ${}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ transition line has an excitation potential of ~23.6 K and can therefore be excited in typical molecular clouds. Observational studies have shown spatial correlation with both low-*J* CO emission and H₂ abundances (Papadopoulos & Greve 2004; Bell et al. 2007; Walter et al. 2011; Israel et al. 2015). Bothwell et al. (2017) showed similar [C I] and CO line widths of a range of high-*z* galaxies. Those observations span a wide range of environments, from local molecular clouds to high-redshift (ultra) luminous infrared galaxies, implying that [C I] may be able to trace the gas component traditionally traced by CO emission.

The results from theoretical models are mixed. With respect to [C II], theoretical studies have typically focused on disentangling different components of [C II] emission. Utilizing hydrodynamics simulations of star formation regions combined with photodissociation region (PDR) and radiative transfer modeling, Accurso et al. (2017) found that ~60%–80% of [C II] comes from molecular regions, depending mainly on the specific star formation rate (SFR). Similarly, Olsen et al. (2017) found that ~66% of [C II] comes from molecular gas in starforming galaxies at $z \sim 6$. Using a kpc-scale patch extracted from isolated galaxies, Glover & Smith (2016) found a weak correlation between the [C II] intensity and $N_{\rm H}$.

On the other hand, [C I] has been historically interpreted as only a tracer of the surface layer of a thin PDR layer on the surface of clouds (e.g., Tielens & Hollenbach 1985). This interpretation was questioned in subsequent studies. For example, Papadopoulos et al. (2004) suggested that [C I] can be widespread in molecular regions traditionally traced by CO emission, due to increased exposure to ISRFs from turbulence (Xie et al. 1995; Spaans & van Dishoeck 1997; Cubick et al. 2008).

A number of groups have investigated the behavior of [C I] in smaller-scale molecular cloud simulations (Offner et al. 2014; Glover et al. 2015). They point out several advantages of C I over CO: the column density regime of [C I] where the corresponding conversion factor X_{CI} remains approximately constant is larger, and X_{CI} is less sensitive to secondary parameters such as ISRF strength. Cosmological simulations of [C I] in high-redshift galaxies have also been conducted (Tomassetti et al. 2014), assuming a constant C I/H₂ abundance ratio and a uniform gas kinetic temperature. They suggest that [C I] is sufficiently luminous to trace a significant fraction of H₂ mass in galaxies at $z \sim 2$ to 4.

Thus far, what has been missing is an investigation into the expected fraction of CO-dark molecular gas on galaxy-wide scales. Understanding this is the focus of the present paper. To do this, we take advantage of a combination of cosmological zoom-in simulations and thermal-radiative-chemical equilibrium ISM calculations to model the predicted H_2 , H I, CO(1 –0), [C I], and [C II] emission⁹ from giant clouds in galaxy

simulations. Here we focus on $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies, though we plan to extend our models to galaxy populations at high redshift in subsequent work. In this paper, we ask three fundamental questions.

- 1. How much CO-dark molecular gas is there in $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies?
- 2. What are the physical properties of this dark molecular gas?
- 3. What are the best alternative tracers of CO-dark gas?

In Section 2, we summarize our simulation methods. In Section 3, we show sample results from a simplified cloud based on our modeling to develop our physical intuition. In Section 4, we investigate the amount and properties of CO-dark molecular gas and examine the utility of different carbon-based tracers. In Section 6, we discuss our results in the context of other relevant theoretical studies and the sensitivity of uncertain parameters in our model. We summarize in Section 7.

2. Methods

2.1. Cosmological Zoom Galaxy Formation Simulations

We examine two Milky Way–like galaxies formed in cosmological zoom-in simulations using the MUFASA physics suite (Davé et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b). The basic physics in these simulations is described in Narayanan et al. (2018a, 2018b) and Privon et al. (2018), and we refer the reader to these papers for details, though we summarize the salient points here.

We first simulate a 50 Mpc³ dark matter–only box at relatively low-mass resolution ($M_{\rm DM} = 7.8 \times 10^8 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$) down to redshift z = 0. We conduct this (and our main zoom-in simulation) with the hydrodynamic code GIZMO in meshless finite mass (MFM) mode (Hopkins 2015, 2017). We employ the cubic spline kernel with 64 neighbors, which leads to a minimum smoothing length $\epsilon \sim 30$ pc. We evaluate volume and column densities of gas on the scale of the smoothing length. Our initial conditions are generated with MUSIC (Hahn & Abel 2011) and are exactly the same as the MUFASA cosmological hydrodynamic simulation series (Davé et al. 2016, 2017a, 2017b).

From the z = 0 snapshot, we identify dark matter halos with CAESAR (Thompson et al. 2014) and select two halos with dark matter halo masses $M_{halo} = 1.9 \times 10^{12}$ and $2.1 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. Hereafter, these are named "Halo 352" and "Halo 401," respectively. We identify all particles within $2.5 \times r_{max}$, where r_{max} is the distance of the particle with maximum radius from the halo center of mass at z = 0. We track these particles back to redshift z = 249 and split them in order to achieve higher-mass resolution. At this point, we also add baryons according to the cosmic baryon fraction. Our final particle masses are $M_{\rm DM} = 1 \times 10^6 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$ and baryon mass $M_{\rm b} = 1.9 \times 10^5 h^{-1} M_{\odot}$.

We rerun these higher-resolution simulations from an initial redshift z = 249 down to z = 0. Our galaxy formation physics follows that employed in the MUFASA cosmological hydrodynamic simulation. We refer the reader to Davé et al. (2016) for a detailed description of these models. Briefly, we use the GRACKLE-3.1 chemistry and cooling library (Smith et al. 2017), which includes primordial and metal-line cooling. Gas is allowed to cool down to 10^4 K, below which it is pressurized in order to resolve the Jeans mass. Stars form in molecular gas, where the H₂ fraction is calculated following

⁹ Because we refer to both abundances and intensities in this paper, we must be a bit careful with our terminology. We hereafter refer to CO (J = 1-0)emission as CO (1-0), while we refer to the molecule itself as CO. We refer to [C I] ${}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$ emission as [C I], while we refer to the neutral atom itself as C I. Finally, we refer to [C II] 158 μ m emission as [C II], while we refer to singly ionized carbon as C⁺.



Figure 1. Gas surface density projection maps of information from redshifts z = 5 to 0. The panels are 80 kpc (comoving) on a side, and the color scale is common for all images with the color scale on the right. The star particles are overplotted to show the stellar disks.

the Krumholz et al. (2009) methodology. We impose a minimum value of metallicity $Z = 10^{-3}Z_{\odot}$ entering the calculation. We take this floor value from Kuhlen et al. (2012), who showed that a minimum metallicity of this order should be produced by metal enrichment from Population III stars, which form in early halos with masses well below the resolution limit of our simulations. The rate of star formation proceeds following a volumetric Schmidt (1959) relation, with an enforced efficiency per freefall time of $\epsilon_{\rm ff} = 0.02$ as motivated by observations (e.g., Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt & Evans 2012; Krumholz et al. 2012; Narayanan et al. 2012; Heyer et al. 2016; Vutisalchavakul et al. 2016; Leroy et al. 2017).

These stars drive winds in the ISM. This form of feedback is modeled as a two-phase decoupled wind. The modeled winds have an ejection probability that scales with both the SFR and the galaxy circular velocity (a quantity calculated on the fly via fast friends-of-friends galaxy identification). The nature of these scaling relations follows the results from higher-resolution studies in the Feedback In Realistic Environments zoom simulation campaign (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2014, 2017; Muratov et al. 2015). We additionally include feedback from longer-lived stars (e.g., asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and Type 1a supernovae) following Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar evolution tracks with a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. MUFASA also includes an additional, artificial "quenching feedback" intended to suppress star formation in massive halos, but we do not use it in the simulations presented in this paper because our halos are below the mass threshold where it applies.

 Table 1

 Zoom Simulation Summary

Halo ID	$M_{ m DM}(z=0)$ M_{\odot}	$M_*(z=0)$ M_{\odot}	$M_{ m g,H~I+H2}(z=0)$ M_{\odot}	$\frac{\rm SFR}{M_{\odot}~\rm yr^{-1}}$
mz352 mz401	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9 \times 10^{12} \\ 2.1 \times 10^{12} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.3 \times 10^{10} \\ 2.6 \times 10^{10} \end{array}$	$1.3 \times 10^{10} \\ 4.2 \times 10^{10}$	3.8 4.3

Note. Halo ID number, halo mass, stellar mass, neutral gas (H I + H₂) mass, and SFR. All physical quantities are calculated at z = 0.

Metal-enrichment yields for Type Ia and II supernovae are drawn from Iwamoto et al. (1999) and Nomoto et al. (2006), respectively (though note that the latter yields are reduced by a factor of 50% to match the mass-metallicity relation statistically, following the discussion in Davé et al. 2016). The AGB star yields are drawn from Oppenheimer & Davé (2006).

The results of these simulations are two disklike galaxies at redshift z = 0. In Figure 1, we show the gas surface density images of one of our model galaxies from z = 5 to 0 and list the final physical properties of these galaxies in Table 1. Figure 2 shows where the simulated galaxies are located in the mass-metallicity plane. Compared against the mass-metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004), our galaxies have only slightly lower gas metallicity, which could lead to a smaller amount of CO-bright gas. Abruzzo et al. (2018) showed the location of these galaxies on both the SFR- M_* relation and the M_* - M_{halo} relation.



Figure 2. Mass–metallicity relation (solid line, with dashed lines representing 1σ and 2σ uncertainties; Tremonti et al. 2004). The red and purple stars denote the mass-weighted metallicity of molecular gas vs. stellar mass in our simulated galaxies (Halo 401 and Halo 352). The blue star denotes M81 (Kudritzki et al. 2012).

2.2. Computation of Thermal States, Chemical States, and Line Emission

We calculate the chemical abundances and line emission of the gas through postprocessing the gas particles from the simulated galaxy. We utilize the code Derive the Energetics and Spectra of Optically Thick Interstellar Clouds (DESPOTIC; Krumholz 2014) to compute the chemical state, temperature, and level populations in each layer. We briefly summarize the computation here and refer readers interested in further details to Krumholz (2014) and Narayanan & Krumholz (2017).

The computation of emergent luminosity requires three steps: calculating thermal equilibrium, chemical equilibrium, and statistical equilibrium. In practice, these three steps are done iteratively. To perform these, we first assume that every particle is a sphere and is radially stratified into eight concentric zones. Note that we test the convergence by varying the number of zones from eight to 64 and show in the Appendix that the eight-zone model is sufficient to produce a converged result. The equilibrium calculations for each zone are done independently from one another.

Each particle has a density ρ and particle mass *M* known from the hydrodynamic simulations. We assign the column density of H nuclei $N_{\rm H} = (3/4)\Sigma_g/\mu_{\rm H}$, where $\mu_{\rm H}$ is the mean molecular weight. The factor 3/4 is the difference between mean column density and center-to-edge column density. Within each zone, we adopt total abundances of $[C/H] = 2 \times 10^{-4}Z'$, consistent with their Milky Way abundances (Lee et al. 1996; Draine 2011). Here Z' is the metallicity scaled to a solar value of 0.0134 (Asplund et al. 2009).

We model the chemical state of each zone using the reduced carbon-oxygen chemical network as developed by Nelson & Langer (1999), combined with the Glover & Mac Low (2007) nonequilibrium hydrogen chemical network (hereafter NL99 +GC). The reaction rates and full network are described in detail in Table 2 of Narayanan & Krumholz (2017). This network specifies the fraction of carbon in CO, C I, and C⁺ in each zone, as well as H I and H₂.

This network requires that we specify the strength of the unshielded ISRF, which we characterize in terms of the far-ultraviolet radiation field. We normalize this field to the solarneighborhood value $\chi_{\rm FUV}$, which we assume is linearly proportional to the total galaxy SFR. The normalization of this scale is set to 1 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, based on the approximate observed Milky Way value (Robitaille & Whitney 2010; Chomiuk & Povich 2011).

Additionally, we must specify the amount by which we reduce the rates of all photochemical reactions by dust shielding in the interiors of clouds. We characterize this dust shielding in terms of the visual extinction $A_v = (1/2)(A_v/N_H)N_H$, where the ratio of (A_v/N_H) is the dust extinction per H nucleus at the V band, and the factor of 1/2 represents the rough average column over the entire volume of the cloud. The reduction in the H₂ dissociation rate is evaluated using the Draine & Bertoldi (1996) shielding function, and the reduction in CO photodissociation is determined using an interpolated version of the shielding function of van Dishoeck & Black (1988).

We further specify a CR ionization rate. Recent observations suggest the CR ionization rate $\xi \sim 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in the diffuse ISM (Neufeld et al. 2010; Indriolo et al. 2015; Neufeld & Wolfire 2017). However, considering that a significant amount of the CR flux is at low energies, the ionization rate in the interiors of molecular clouds will likely be lower due to shielding. Similarly, the relatively large values of $\xi \sim 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ are at tension with the low inferred temperatures of typical molecular clouds (e.g., Narayanan et al. 2011; Narayanan & Davé 2012). Because of this, we adopt a more conservative value of $\xi = 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. We assume that the CR ionization rate ξ scales linearly with the SFR, i.e., $\xi = 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{SFR}/M_{\odot} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Note that increasing this value will have the effect of increasing the CO-dark fraction in clouds.

Alongside our chemical equilibrium calculations, we determine the thermal state of each zone of our model clouds. To do this, we follow Goldsmith (2001) and Krumholz et al. (2011) in balancing the relevant heating and cooling processes. The heating processes we consider are the cosmic microwave background (CMB; set to $T_{\rm CMB} = 2.73$ K), heating of the dust by the ISRF, heating of the dust by a background thermal infrared field with an effective temperature of 10 K, grain photoelectric heating in the gas, and CR heating of the gas. The cooling processes are dominated by line cooling of the gas by C⁺, C I, O, and CO, as well as of atomic hydrogen excited by electrons via the Ly α and Ly β lines (processes that can be relevant at $T \gtrsim 5000$ K, which is possible in the outskirts of our clouds). Additionally, there is an energy exchange between gas and dust.

Finally, statistical equilibrium is assumed to determine the level populations of each species. DESPOTIC uses the escape probability approximation for the radiative transfer problem, where f_i , the fraction of each species in a quantum state *i*, is computed, solving the linear system of equations

$$\sum_{j} f_{j} \left[q_{ji} + \beta_{ji} (1 + n_{\gamma,ji}) A_{ji} + \beta_{ij} \frac{g_{i}}{g_{j}} n_{\gamma,ij} A_{ij} \right]$$
$$= f_{i} \sum_{k} \left[q_{ik} + \beta_{ik} (1 + n_{\gamma,ik}) A_{ik} + \beta_{ki} \frac{g_{k}}{g_{i}} n_{\gamma,ki} A_{ki} \right], \qquad (2)$$

where $\sum_i f_i = 1$, A_{ij} are the usual Einstein coefficients for spontaneous emission (from $i \rightarrow j$), g_i and g_j are the degeneracies of the states,

$$n_{\gamma,ij} = \frac{1}{\exp(\Delta E_{ij}/k_B T_{\text{CMB}}) - 1}$$
(3)

is the photon occupation number of the CMB, E_{ij} is the energy difference between states *i* and *j*, and β_{ij} is the escape probability for photons of this energy (Draine 2011). Here q_{ij} are the collisional transition rates between states, where the calculation of collision rates includes both H I and H₂, in proportion to their abundances as determined by the chemical network. The Einstein and collisional rate coefficients all come from the Leiden Atomic and Molecular Data Base (LAMADA; Flower & Launay 1977; Launay & Roueff 1977; Johnson et al. 1987; Flower 1988, 2001; Roueff & Le Bourlot 1990; Schroder et al. 1991; Staemmler & Flower 1991; Wilson & Bell 2002; Barinovs et al. 2005; Jankowski & Szalewicz 2005; Schöier et al. 2005; Wernli et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2010; Lique et al. 2013; Wiesenfeld & Goldsmith 2014).

To compute the chemical and thermal states alongside the emergent line luminosity, we first guess an initial temperature, chemical state, and level population for each layer in the cloud. We then iterate each process in each zone independently. The outermost loop is the chemical network, and this is run to convergence while holding the temperature fixed. The middle loop computes the temperature, holding the level populations fixed. Finally, the innermost loop (the level populations) are iterated for each species to convergence. We iterate in this manner until the chemical abundances, temperatures, and level populations are converged. With these in hand, the total line luminosity per unit mass in each zone is summed.

Finally, we note that this process is computationally expensive. When considering the relatively large number of gas particles in a single snapshot, it is prohibitive to determine the line luminosity in this manner on a particle-by-particle basis. Because of this, we have built a four-dimensional lookup table where we compute the aforementioned DESPOTIC calculations in a fine grid over a range of $n_{\rm H}$, $N_{\rm H}$, SFR, and metallicity. The lookup table spans the metallicity, column density, volume density, and SFR space of $0.1 \leq Z' \leq 1.5$, $1 \leq n_{\rm H} \leq 10^4$, $1 \leq N_{\rm H}/(M_{\odot}/{\rm pc}^2) \leq 10^4$, and $1 \leq {\rm SFR}/(M_{\odot} {\rm yr}^{-1}) \leq 10^3$. The spacing of the grid is 0.28, 0.2 dex, 0.2 dex, and 0.15 dex for Z', $n_{\rm H}$, $N_{\rm H}$ and SFR, respectively. The results presented here are all converged with respect to the grid resolution of the lookup tables.

3. Developing Intuition: Results from Simplified Cloud Models

Before we explore our main results, it is worth first going through a pedagogical exploration of the chemical properties of individual spherical, radially stratified DESPOTIC clouds. These numerical experiments will allow us to develop a physical intuition that will be useful when examining the CO, C I, and C^+ abundances in bona fide galaxy zoom simulations.

For the purpose of the idealized numerical experiments we develop in this section, we fix the initial density of spherical clouds to $n_{\rm H} = 100 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ and run the chemical-thermal-statistical network to equilibrium. In Figure 3, we examine the relationship between the CO, C I, and C⁺ abundances as a function of column density when varying the SFR (upper panel) and metallicity (lower panel). We overplot the H₂ and H I abundances, which are normalized to unity instead of 2×10^{-4} and a +1 offset, to show how H₂ fractional abundances are impacted by SFR and metallicity.

With fixed SFR and metallicity, the H_2 abundance increases as the column density increases, mainly due to the increased ability of hydrogen to self-shield against the photodissociating



Figure 3. Carbon species' abundances from idealized cloud models with a fixed density $n_{\rm H} = 100 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$. Upper panel: $[{\rm C}^*/{\rm H}]$ as a function of $N_{\rm H}$. We fix the metallicity to solar. We vary the SFR to values of SFR = 1 (solid line), 10 (dashed line), and 100 (dot-dashed line) $M_{\odot} \,{\rm yr}^{-1}$. Lower panel: the CO (green), C I (blue), and C⁺ (red) abundances with regard to H ([C^{*}/{\rm H}]) as functions of $N_{\rm H}$. We fix the SFR to SFR = $1 \, M_{\odot} \,{\rm yr}^{-1}$. We vary the metallicity to values of $Z' = Z/Z_{\odot} = 0.1$ (solid line), 1.0 (dashed line), and 1.5 (dot-dashed line). We overplot the hydrogen species' abundances, which are normalized to unity instead of 2×10^{-4} and a + 1 offset.

radiation. At a fixed column density, the H_2 abundance also increases as the metallicity increases, owing to the increased efficacy of dust shielding. Conversely, a higher SFR (which results in a stronger photodissociating FUV field and CR ionization rate) tends to reduce the H_2 abundance.

For a fixed set of physical conditions (i.e., SFR and Z'), C⁺ tends to dominate the low column density regime (here the outskirts of our spherical clouds), while CO dominates the high column density regime. As we show in the upper panel of Figure 3, at a fixed SFR, carbon tends to transition from ionized to molecular form with increasing metallicity due to enhanced dust shielding.

Compared to the hydrogen abundances, the transition of carbon species' composition occurs at higher column densities due to the lack of self-shielding. The SFR has a much more significant effect on the abundances of carbon in different phases. Enhanced SFRs slightly expand the C^+ -dominated



Figure 4. Upper row: PDFs of CO, C I, and C⁺ abundances (left to right) in molecular gas (H₂ abundance >0.5; green) and atomic gas (H I abundance >0.5; orange) for Halo 401 and Halo 352 at redshift z = 0. The CO does not exist in any appreciable abundance in atomic gas, nor does C I. Rather, both principally reside in molecular H₂ gas. On the other hand, C⁺ can reside in both atomic and molecular gas, and carbon in atomic gas is almost exclusively in the ionized C⁺ phase, as is shown by the extremely sharp orange PDF in the rightmost panel. Lower row: PDFs of velocity-integrated CO(1–0), [C I], and [C II] (left to right) intensities (K km s⁻¹) in molecular and atomic gas. As we transition from molecular (CO 1–0) to atomic ([C II]) to ionized ([C II]) carbon emission, the fraction of emission that originates in molecular gas decreases, and the fraction that originates in atomic gas increases.

region while significantly enlarging the C I-dominated region. This is mainly due to the fact that CO, unlike H_2 , is unable to self-shield. Its survival is therefore more sensitive to FUV photodissociation, as well as destruction via CRs (via a two-body reaction from ionized He⁺; Bisbas et al. 2015; Narayanan & Krumholz 2017).

4. CO-dark Gas in Cosmological Galaxy Formation Simulations

We now turn our attention to understanding the utility of different carbon-based tracers of molecular gas in the cosmological zoom simulations of Milky Way analogs. We frame these results in terms of answering a number of specific questions of interest. We assume for both models an SFR of $1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for snapshots analyzed in this paper. This is comparable to the actual SFRs, though it is cleaner when comparing results across multiple models to impose a constant SFR.

5. Definitions

We begin the analysis of our results with some definitions. Motivated by observations, we define CO-dark gas as an H₂ gas layer with $W_{\rm CO} < 0.1$ K km s⁻¹. This value matches the typical sensitivity in observations of nearby molecular clouds (e.g., Pineda et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2012; Ripple et al. 2013; Leroy et al. 2016).

When examining properties of CO-dark gas and the utility of different tracers, we only consider gas with $W_{\rm CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹. As will be shown later in Figure 9, only ~10% of the H₂ mass is associated with gas fainter than this limit, which is



Figure 5. The CO(1–0) intensity of the molecular gas of Halo 401 against column density ($N_{\rm H}$) and metallicity (Z). The intensity increases as $N_{\rm H}$ and Z increase due to stronger dust shielding.

extremely diffuse, atomic, or ionized. In this type of gas, H_2 can only exist sparsely in dense substructures well below the model resolution (even the finest resolution of observations). As a result, the emission calculated from our model, which is an average of a whole layer, cannot represent the emission from real H_2 regions and is therefore not interesting to discuss.

Because our radially stratified clouds are comprised of a mixture of H I and H_2 , to simplify our presentation of results,



Figure 6. The PDFs of gas density ($n_{\rm H}$; top left panel), H₂ abundances (top right panel), column density ($N_{\rm H}$; bottom left panel) and gas kinetic temperature ($T_{\rm g}$; bottom right panel) for CO-dark (blue) and CO-bright (orange) gas. The CO-bright gas is principally highly extincted ($N_{\rm H} \gtrsim 60 M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$), cold ($T_{\rm g} \lesssim 10 \, {\rm K}$), dense ($n_{\rm H} \gtrsim 50 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$) gas with extremely high ($\gtrsim 60\%$) H₂ fractions across the whole cloud, while the CO-dark counterpart is mainly diffuse gas.

we refer to the layers of our clouds as "molecular" if $M_{\rm H_2}/M_{\rm H_1+H_2} \ge 0.5$ and "atomic" if $M_{\rm H_2}/M_{\rm H_1+H_2} < 0.5$.

5.1. Where Does CO(1-0), [C 1], and [C II] Emission Come From?

We first examine what fractions of emission of CO(1-0), [C I], and [C II] come from molecular versus atomic gas.

In the upper row of Figure 4, we show three panels, each showing the probability distribution functions (PDFs) of CO, C I, and C⁺ (left to right) abundances for our two model galaxies at redshift z = 0. For both galaxies, CO does not exist in any appreciable abundance in atomic gas, nor does C I. Rather, both principally reside in molecular H₂ gas. On the other hand, C⁺ can reside in both atomic and molecular gas, and the carbon in atomic gas is almost exclusively in the ionized C⁺ phase.

Correspondingly, in the lower row of Figure 4, we show three panels, each showing the PDFs of the intensity from CO(1–0), [C I], and [C II] (left to right) coming from both atomic and molecular gas. The orange line in each panel shows the distribution of line intensities (K km s⁻¹) that come from atomic gas, while the green line shows the distribution of line intensities that come from molecular gas. As we transition from molecular (CO 1–0) to atomic ([C I]) to ionized ([C II]) carbon emission, the fraction of emission that originates in molecular gas decreases, and the fraction that originates in atomic gas increases.

We quantify the mass fraction of molecular gas dominated by CO (1–0), [C I], and [C II]. For example, CO (1–0) is classified as dominating when the CO (1–0) intensity (in erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² sr⁻¹) is greater than either [C I] or [C II]. Nearly 80% of the molecular gas is dominated by [C II], while the bulk of the remainder is dominated by CO (1–0). The fraction of



Figure 7. The PDFs of the intensities of [C II] (left panel) and [C I] (right panel) emission from CO-dark (blue) and CO-bright (orange) gas. Strong emission of both [C II] and [C I] principally comes from CO-dark gas, while the emission is weak in CO-bright gas, especially for [C II]. Note that the line intensity is approximately proportional to $\nu^3 T_b$ in typical molecular clouds, where ν is the line-center frequency and T_b is the brightness temperature. Since $\nu^3_{[C II]}/\nu^3_{[C I]} = 57.6$, [C II] is overall more luminous than [C I] in CO-dark gas.

molecular gas dominated by [C I] emission is negligible; this is due to the relatively narrow set of physical conditions in which [C I] emission peaks (cf. Figure 3). This said, this should not be interpreted as [C I] being an ineffective tracer of molecular gas. Rather, even if [C I] is mostly fainter than [C II], it is still brighter than CO(1–0) in the CO-dark gas. In fact, as we will show in Section 5.3, [C I] emission is generally strong enough and can serve as a reasonably reliable tracer of H₂ gas.

5.2. What Are the Physical Properties of CO-dark Gas?

Having established that a significant fraction of the molecular gas in $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies is CO dark, we next investigate the physical properties of this gas.

In Figure 5, we show the CO (1-0) intensity of the molecular gas of an example galaxy (the z = 0 snapshot of model Halo 401) as a function of cloud column density ($N_{\rm H}$) and gas metallicity (note that Halo 352 has a similar result). We show these two variables because they are critical, as a combination, to shield CO from photodissociating Lyman–Werner–band photons. It is clear that the CO-dark factor depends strongly on $N_{\rm H}$, which varies by several orders of magnitude in our simulations, and that it mildly depends on Z. One requires column densities of $\sim 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² before molecular clouds are CO-bright. Another way of saying this is that strongly CO-dark gas has a typically relatively low column density.

In Figure 6, we show the PDFs of gas density $(n_{\rm H})$, H₂ abundances, column density $(N_{\rm H})$, and kinetic temperature $(T_{\rm g})$ for CO-dark and CO-bright molecular-dominated clouds. The CO-dark gas is typically relatively diffuse (i.e., low volume or column density), warm (>10 K), and comprised of gas with relatively large H I mass fractions.

Taken together, these results paint a picture in which COdark molecular gas is typically of lower column density, of lower density, and in clouds with larger H I fractions overall than CO-bright gas. On the other hand, CO-bright gas is typically quite dense $(n_{\rm H} \gtrsim 50 \,{\rm cm}^{-3})$, cold $(T_{\rm g} \sim 10 \,{\rm K})$, and highly extinct $(N_{\rm H} \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2})$.

5.3. What Is the Best Method for Tracing CO-dark Gas?

Having established both the quantity and physical properties of CO-dark gas, we now ask what the best method for tracing CO-dark gas is.

First, we want to know how luminous alternative tracers are in molecular gas. We show the [C II] and [C I] intensities of CO-dark gas and compare them to the CO-bright counterpart in Figure 7. The [C II] intensity is brightest in the CO-dark gas and negligible in CO-bright gas. At the same time, the [C I] emission, while naturally brighter in the CO-dark gas, is also relatively bright even in the CO-bright gas. Note that the line intensity is approximately proportional to $\nu^3 T_b$ in typical molecular clouds, where ν is the line-center frequency and T_b is the brightness temperature. Since $\nu_{[C II]}^3 / \nu_{[C I]}^2 = 57.6$, [C II] has an overall larger intensity than [C I] in CO-dark gas, though $T_{b,[C I]}$ is about twice as large as $T_{b,[C II]}$.

We notice that, though [C II] emission dominates in most of the CO-dark gas, [C I] should also be strong enough to trace COdark gas (more than $\nu_{[C I]}^3 / \nu_{CO(1-0)}^3 = 78$ times as luminous as CO(1-0) with $W_{CO} = 0.1$ K km s⁻¹ in general). Besides, [C II] emission is generally too weak (<0.05 K km s⁻¹) to trace CObright gas but strong in the CO-dark counterpart. On the other hand, [C I] emission is generally strong enough in CO-dark gas, while a range of intensities are found in CO-bright gas. This shows that [C I] is capable of effectively tracing molecular gas with a wider range of properties than [C II].

After establishing the potentially effective tracers for CO-dark gas, we now ask how we can use these tracers to determine the mass of underlying molecular gas. In other words, what are the [C I], [C II], and CO(1-0) conversion factors for CO-bright and CO-dark molecular gas?

In Figure 8, we evaluate the PDFs of the conversion factors for all H_2 with $W_{CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹ (see Section 5; top),



Figure 8. The $X_{\rm CO}$, $X_{\rm C}$ _b and $X_{\rm C \ II}$ PDFs for Halo 401 and Halo 352. Top panel: PDFs for all H₂ with $W_{\rm CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹; we can see two bumps for CO(1–0) and one bump for [C I] and [C II]. The larger bump of the $X_{\rm CO}$ PDF mainly consists of CO-dark gas while the smaller consists of a CO-bright counterpart. Middle panel: PDFs for CO-dark gas (see Section 5) for the definition. Bottom panel: PDFs for CO-bright gas. As is shown, the PDFs of $X_{\rm C \ I}$ and $X_{\rm C \ II}$ are much tighter than those of $X_{\rm CO}$, implying a weaker dependence on secondary parameters. The [C I] and [C II] work better for CO-dark gas, while the bimodal feature of the $X_{\rm CO}$ PDF complicates the interpretation of CO(1–0). Here $X_{\rm C \ I}$, rather than $X_{\rm C \ II}$, remains stable over a wide range of physical properties.

CO-dark H_2 (middle), and CO-bright H_2 (bottom); a significant amount of molecular gas is traced by all three tracers over all gas within the central galaxies of Halos 401 and 352.



Figure 9. The H₂ mass fraction traced by CO (1–0), [C I], and [C II] as a function of intensity threshold (above which the emission can be detected) for Halo 401. At a higher intensity threshold, the traced molecular gas is dominated by CO (1–0). However, CO (1–0) misses much of the molecular gas, even with a very low threshold, and [C I] and [C II] take over. For example, at $W_{CO} \lesssim 0.1 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$, CO (1–0) only traces a much smaller amount of H₂ mass, compared to [C I] and [C II]. As shown by the dashed lines, where we artificially increase our CR ionization rate by a factor of 30, this result is sensitive to the assumed CR ionization rate (further discussed in Section 6.2).

 $\frac{1}{10^{-5}} \frac{10^{-4}}{10^{-3}} \frac{10^{-2}}{10^{-2}} \frac{10^{-1}}{10^{-1}} \frac{10^{-1}}{10^{0}}$ W_{C^*} (K km s⁻¹)

From the top panel, we notice that there are two bumps for the $X_{\rm CO}$ PDF, revealing the impact of metal column density distribution and other secondary parameters. At the same time, distributions of $X_{\rm CI}$ and $X_{\rm CII}$ (especially $X_{\rm CI}$) are relatively narrow, with only one peak. The narrow distribution indicates that these two tracers are less sensitive to secondary parameters such as excitation, temperature, ISRF, and metallicity. Therefore, these can be reliably used to trace the molecular gas in $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies.

Limiting the sample to CO-dark gas by our definition in Section 5, we find that there is little change in X_{CI} and X_{CII} . The bimodal feature of X_{CO} , however, is shifted to a single bump. We therefore conclude that [CI] and [CII] perform better in tracing CO-dark molecular gas.

Shifting from CO-dark gas to the CO-bright counterpart, we find that the peak of the X_{CI} PDF remains roughly unchanged and that the PDF becomes tighter. The peak of the X_{CII} PDF, however, increases by about 1 dex and deviates from the peak of the X_{CII} PDF of all H₂. This shows that [CI], rather than [CII], remains a stable tracer over a wide range of physical properties.

6. Discussion

6.1. How Much H₂ Is Traced at Different Intensity Thresholds?

We begin the discussion with Figure 9, where we show how much molecular gas can be traced by different carbon tracers above different observed intensity thresholds. We use Halo 401 as an example but note that Halo 352 has similar results. We focus on the solid lines here and defer discussion about the dashed lines (in which we vary the CR ionization rate) to Section 6.2.

From the solid lines in Figure 9, we see that at large integrated-intensity values (e.g., $W_{C*} \sim 1 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$), the traced molecular gas is dominated by CO (1–0). In this regime, strong CO (1–0) comes from copious CO residing in highly

9

H₂ Mass Fraction

101

shielded and extremely H₂-rich gas, where C I and C⁺ abundances are too low to generate strong emission. Even though strong [C II] and [C I] emission comes from diffuse molecular gas, the intensity is not at the same level as CO (1–0) from dense gas, due to the low gas density. At lower-intensity thresholds, though, a much larger fraction of the gas is traced by [C I] and [C II], while CO (1–0) misses a significant amount of H₂ mass (e.g., ~50% missed at $W_{C*} \sim 0.1 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$), because most of the weak emission comes from diffuse molecular gas which is CO dark while [C I] and [C II]-luminous (see also Section 4).

6.2. Sensitivity to CR Ionization

The CR ionization rate in galaxies is uncertain. Observations of diffuse molecular clouds (e.g., Neufeld et al. 2010; Wolfire et al. 2010; Indriolo et al. 2015; Neufeld & Wolfire 2017) and dense ones (McCall et al. 1999) within the Milky Way suggest rates in the range $\xi \sim 0.1-3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Because an increased CR ionization rate can increase the fraction of dark gas (mainly via ionization of He \rightarrow He⁺ and a subsequent two-body reaction with CO), we therefore test the sensitivity of our results to the assumed value of ξ . Here we adopt a relatively extreme normalization of $\xi = 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for SFR = $1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and compare the results to the fiducial case of $\xi \sim 0.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

We return to Figure 9 but now highlight the dashed lines, in which we have assumed the larger ionization rate $\xi \sim 3 \times 10^{-16} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The mass fraction of molecular gas traced by [C II] and [C I] above specific intensity thresholds increases as the CR ionization rate increases, while CO(1-0) traces less molecular gas. This is a result of enhanced [C II] and [C I] abundances, as more CO is effectively destroyed by CRs. The overall trend as discussed in Section 6.1 remains unchanged, but the enhanced CR ionization potentially makes [C II] and [C I] and [C I] better tracers of molecular gas.

We additionally check the effect of the normalization of the CR ionization rate on the conversion factors, shown in Figure 10. We can see that CO(1-0) performs even worse as a CO-bright H_2 tracer, as the PDF of X_{CO} shows more power toward high X factors (again, a result of increased dissociation of CO). The [CI] and [CII] still perform well for CO-dark molecular gas. The [C II] still fails to trace part of the diffuse gas, as indicated by the shifting of the peak from the top panel to the bottom panel. Even if [C I] is a still-stable tracer of molecular gas with a wide range of physical properties, we notice that the PDF of X_{CI} becomes ~ 1 dex wider and the median is ~ 0.5 dex smaller compared to the fiducial case. That said, while X_{CI} exhibits a relatively narrow distribution in values and remains stable across a wide range of physical properties, we caution that the typical value and the dispersion are relatively sensitive to the assumed normalization of the CR ionization rate.

We note, though, that these results may change as a result of the choice of chemical network. For example, Gong et al. (2017) found that the NL99+GC network may overestimate the effectiveness of CRs in destroying CO because it does not include grain-assisted recombination. This would suggest that the results presented here are best thought of as an upper limit to the impact of CRs. For comparison, in the Appendix, we implement the Gong et al. (2017) chemical reaction network and explore the impact of the CR ionization rate on our results. As we demonstrate in Figure 12, the usage of the Gong et al. network does not change our overall conclusions, but the PDFs of X factors are less sensitive to the assumed CR ionization rate, as expected.



Figure 10. The $X_{\rm CO}$, $X_{\rm C I}$, and $X_{\rm C II}$ PDFs of Halo 401 for different normalizations of CR ionization rates. Top panel: PDFs for all molecular gas with $W_{\rm CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹. Middle panel: PDFs for CO-dark molecular gas. Bottom panel: PDFs for CO-bright molecular gas.

6.3. Comparing to Other Theoretical Work

Previous theoretical work (e.g., Smith et al. 2014; Glover & Smith 2016; Gong et al. 2018) on quantifying CO-dark molecular gas and alternative molecule tracers has typically been limited to studies of individual clouds or patches extracted from isolated galaxies. These studies define molecular gas as "CO dark" if $W_{\rm CO} < 0.1$ K km s⁻¹. In particular, using a simulation of a patch of an idealized Milky Way–like galaxy

with a simplified on-the-fly chemical network, Smith et al. (2014) found $f_{\rm DG} \sim 42\%$. In their simulation, a significant amount of CO-dark gas is located in long filaments that have low extinctions. They also showed that the value of f_{DG} increases with increasing ISRF strength. Similarly, by postprocessing 3D magnetohydrodynamics simulations of a kpc patch of galactic disks with solar-neighborhood conditions, Gong et al. (2018) found that f_{DG} is 26%–79%, with the value of f_{DG} correlating with the average extinction of the simulated patch. Gong et al. (2018) also downgraded the spatial resolution of their synthetic CO maps and column density maps to various observational beam sizes and found that in typical Galactic clouds, f_{DG} decreases with the increasing beam size because CO emission is smoothed out. Gong et al. (2018) estimated $f_{\rm DG} \sim 50\%$ at a beam size of ~ 30 pc, which is similar to the minimum smoothing length of gas particles in the central galaxies of our zoom simulations at $z \sim 0$. Overall, our model gives a result consistent with previous work quantifying CO-dark molecular gas when using applesto-apples metrics.

Offner et al. (2014) and Glover et al. (2015) compared [C I] against CO emission in simulations of a cloud-scale turbulent ISM. Contrary to the canonical view of C I as a "surface" tracer from simple 1D PDR models (e.g., Tielens & Hollenbach 1985; Hollenbach & Tielens 1999), their numerical studies show that C I can be prevalent in molecular clouds with the help of turbulent "clumping" and diffusion. They point out several advantages of C I over CO; e.g., the column density regime of [C I], where the corresponding conversion factor X_{CI} remains approximately constant, is larger, and X_{CI} is less sensitive to secondary parameters. Our simulations support these conclusions and extend them to a far larger dynamic range in ISM conditions. We note, however, that our simulations lack the resolution to resolve the effect of clumping on the extinction of ISRF and the increased surface area exposed to ISRF in small scales. Still, we do consider the effect of subgrid clumping on collisional thermal and chemical processes, and a simulation with $\epsilon \sim 25$ pc (close to the $\epsilon \sim 30$ pc of our simulations) would have minimal subgrid clumping effects on volume and column densities (Davé et al. 2016).

7. Conclusions

Combining cosmological hydrodynamic zoom-in galaxy formation simulations of $z \sim 0$ disk galaxies with thermalradiative-chemical equilibrium ISM calculations, we investigate the utility of CO(1–0), [C I], and [C II] emission as molecular tracers in the environment of nonisolated galaxies. We summarize our main findings as follows.

- 1. Most CO(1–0), [C I], and [C II] emission comes from molecular gas, but as we transition from molecular (CO 1 -0) to atomic ([C I]) to ionized ([C II]) carbon emission, the fraction of emission that originates in molecular gas decreases, and the fraction that originates in atomic gas increases.
- 2. We define CO-dark gas as molecular gas. This criterion effectively distinguishes dense molecular gas from diffuse molecular gas. Using our definition of CO-dark gas, we find that the CO-bright portion of the ISM consists principally of highly shielded ($N_{\rm H} \gtrsim 200 \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$) gas with extremely high densities ($n_{\rm H} > 300 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$) and H₂ abundances

(>80%). As a result, observations that focus principally on CO can miss significant amounts of molecular gas.

- 3. With our definition of CO-dark gas as the observationally motivated $W_{\rm CO} < 0.1$ K km s⁻¹, the simulated disk galaxies have a significant amount (~53%) of CO-dark gas that can be traced by [C II] and [C I], emphasizing the importance of these tracers.
- 4. We show the PDFs of CO(1–0), [C I], and [C II] conversion factors $X_{\rm CO}$, $X_{\rm C I}$, and $X_{\rm C II}$ between observed line intensity and true H₂ column density. Of these, $X_{\rm C I}$ and $X_{\rm C II}$ tend to have a tighter distribution than $X_{\rm CO}$, due to the sensitivity of CO (1–0) emission to secondary parameters such as metallicity.
- 5. We find that [C I] is the overall preferable tracer of molecular gas within a wide range of physical properties. The $X_{C I}$ remains stable when shifted from CO-dark gas to CO-bright gas. The [C I] is more luminous than CO(1–0) in CO-dark gas and bright in CO-bright gas, allowing it to trace a larger amount of H₂ mass.

Q.L. was funded by NSF grant AST-1724864. D.N. acknowledges funding from NSF grants AST-1724864 and AST-1715206 and *HST* AR-13906.001 from the Space Telescope Science Institute. M.R.K. acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects funding scheme, grant DP160100695.

Appendix

In order to determine the sensitivity of our results to our choice of chemical network, in this appendix, we repeat some of the key calculations in the paper using the Gong et al. (2017) chemical network instead of our fiducial NL99+GC network.

Appendix A Chemical Structures of a Typical Cloud

We show in Figure 11 the chemical structures (i.e., radial profiles) of a typical molecular cloud with $n_{\rm H} = 105.87 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$,



Figure 11. The CO(1–0) (solid lines), [C I] (dashed lines), and [C II] (dotted lines) intensity as a function of $N_{\rm H}$ for a radially stratified gas particle with $n_{\rm H} = 105.87 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$, $N_{\rm H} = 149.26 \,M_{\odot} \,{\rm pc}^{-2}$, Z' = 1.04, and SFR = $1 \,M_{\odot} \,{\rm yr}^{-1}$, using the Gong et al. (2017) network (blue) and our fiducial NL99+GC network (green).

 $N_{\rm H} = 149.26 \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$, Z' = 1.04, and SFR = $1 \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm yr}^{-1}$. We adopt the CR ionization rate $\xi = 10^{-17} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$. We notice that the grain-catalyzed recombination incorporated in the Gong et al. (2017) network leads to significantly higher [C I] and CO(1-0) intensities in the outer layers ($N_{\rm H} \le 40 \, M_{\odot} \, {\rm pc}^{-2}$), where the ionization via CRs and energetic ISRF is strong.

Appendix B Conversion Factors of Three Carbon-based Tracers

We show in Figure 12 the PDFs of the $X_{\rm CO}$, $X_{\rm C I}$, and $X_{\rm C II}$ of Halo 401 for two different CR ionization rates using the Gong et al. (2017) networks. Comparing the result to the previous discussion in Section 6.2, the inclusion of grain-catalyzed recombination does not change our overall conclusions.



Figure 12. The X_{CO} , X_{CI} , and X_{CI} PDFs of Halo 401 for different CR ionization rates, computed using the Gong et al. (2017) network. Top left panel: PDFs for all molecular gas with $W_{CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹. Top right panel: PDFs for CO-dark gas by our definition (see Section 5). Bottom left panel: PDFs for CO-bright gas.

Appendix C Resolution Tests

We show in Figure 13 the PDFs of the $X_{\rm CO}$, $X_{\rm C I}$, and $X_{\rm C II}$ of Halo 401 for models with different numbers of layers ($N_{\rm zone} = 8$, 16, 32, and 64). The tests utilize a cruder grid, the spacing of which is 0.28, 0.4 dex, 0.4 dex, and 0.3 dex for Z', $n_{\rm H}$, $N_{\rm H}$, and SFR, respectively. The differences are minor.



Figure 13. Convergence tests show X_{CO} , $X_{C I}$, and $X_{C II}$ PDFs of Halo 401 for models with different numbers of layers ($N_{zone} = 8, 16, 32, and 64$), computed using the NL99+GC network and fiducial CR ionization rates $\xi = 10^{-17}$ SFR/ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹ s⁻¹. Top left panel: PDFs for all molecular gas with $W_{CO} > 10^{-5}$ K km s⁻¹. Top right panel: PDFs for CO-dark gas by our definition (see Section 5). Bottom left panel: PDFs for CO-bright gas.

ORCID iDs

Qi Li ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8015-2298 Desika Narayanan ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7064-4309 Mark R. Krumholz ^(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3893-854X

References

- Abruzzo, M. W., Narayanan, D., Davé, R., & Thompson, R. 2018, arXiv:1803. 02374
- Accurso, G., Saintonge, A., Bisbas, T. G., & Viti, S. 2017, MNRAS, 464, 3315
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Barinovs, G., van Hemert, M. C., Krems, R., & Dalgarno, A. 2005, ApJ, 620, 537
- Bell, T. A., Viti, S., & Williams, D. A. 2007, MNRAS, 378, 983
- Bisbas, T. G., Papadopoulos, P. P., & Viti, S. 2015, ApJ, 803, 37
- Bolatto, A. D., Wolfire, M., & Leroy, A. K. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 207
- Bothwell, M. S., Aguirre, J. E., Aravena, M., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 2825

Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000

- Casey, C. M., Narayanan, D., & Cooray, A. 2014, PhR, 541, 45
- Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Chomiuk, L., & Povich, M. S. 2011, AJ, 142, 197
- Cubick, M., Stutzki, J., Ossenkopf, V., Kramer, C., & Röllig, M. 2008, A&A, 488, 623
- Davé, R., Rafieferantsoa, M. H., & Thompson, R. J. 2017a, arXiv:1704.01135 Davé, R., Rafieferantsoa, M. H., Thompson, R. J., & Hopkins, P. F. 2017b, MNRAS 467 115
- Davé, R., Thompson, R., & Hopkins, P. F. 2016, MNRAS, 462, 3265
- Draine, B. T. 2011, Physics of the Interstellar and Intergalactic Medium (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Draine, B. T., & Bertoldi, F. 1996, ApJ, 468, 269
- Feldmann, R., Gnedin, N. Y., & Kravtsov, A. V. 2012, ApJ, 747, 124
- Flower, D. R. 1988, JPhB, 21, L451
- Flower, D. R. 2001, JPhB, 34, 2731
- Flower, D. R., & Launay, J. M. 1977, JPhB, 10, 3673
- Glover, S. C. O., Clark, P. C., Micic, M., & Molina, F. 2015, MNRAS, 448, 1607
- Glover, S. C. O., & Mac Low, M.-M. 2007, ApJS, 169, 239

- Glover, S. C. O., & Smith, R. J. 2016, MNRAS, 462, 3011
- Goldsmith, P. F. 2001, ApJ, 557, 736
- Goldsmith, P. F., Langer, W. D., Pineda, J. L., & Velusamy, T. 2012, ApJS, 203, 13
- Gong, M., Ostriker, E. C., & Kim, C.-G. 2018, arXiv:1803.09822
- Gong, M., Ostriker, E. C., & Wolfire, M. G. 2017, ApJ, 843, 38
- Grenier, I. A., Casandjian, J.-M., & Terrier, R. 2005, Sci, 307, 1292
- Hahn, O., & Abel, T. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 2101
- Herrera-Camus, R., Bolatto, A. D., Wolfire, M. G., et al. 2015, ApJ, 800, 1
- Heyer, M., Gutermuth, R., Urquhart, J. S., et al. 2016, A&A, 588, A29
- Hollenbach, D. J., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1999, RvMP, 71, 173
- Hopkins, P. F. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 53
- Hopkins, P. F. 2017, arXiv:1712.01294
- Hopkins, P. F., Kereš, D., Oñorbe, J., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 581
- Hopkins, P. F., Wetzel, A., Keres, D., et al. 2017, arXiv:1702.06148
- Indriolo, N., Neufeld, D. A., Gerin, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 800, 40
- Israel, F. P., Rosenberg, M. J. F., & van der Werf, P. 2015, A&A, 578, A95
- Iwamoto, K., Brachwitz, F., Nomoto, K., et al. 1999, ApJS, 125, 439
- Jankowski, P., & Szalewicz, K. 2005, JChPh, 123, 104301
- Johnson, C. T., Burke, P. G., & Kingston, A. E. 1987, JPhB, 20, 2553
- Kennicutt, R. C., & Evans, N. J. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 531
- Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
- Krumholz, M. R. 2014, PhR, 539, 49
- Krumholz, M. R., Dekel, A., & McKee, C. F. 2012, ApJ, 745, 69
- Krumholz, M. R., Leroy, A. K., & McKee, C. F. 2011, ApJ, 731, 25
- Krumholz, M. R., McKee, C. F., & Tumlinson, J. 2009, ApJ, 693, 216
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., Gazak, Z., et al. 2012, ApJ, 747, 15
- Kuhlen, M., Krumholz, M. R., Madau, P., Smith, B. D., & Wise, J. 2012, ApJ, 749.36
- Lada, C. J., & Lada, E. A. 2003, ARA&A, 41, 57 Langer, W. D., Pineda, J. L., & Velusamy, T. 2014a, A&A, 564, A101
- Langer, W. D., Velusamy, T., Pineda, J. L., Willacy, K., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2014b, A&A, 561, A122
- Launay, J.-M., & Roueff, E. 1977, JPhB, 10, 879
- Lee, H., Bettens, R. P. A., & Herbst, E. 1996, A&AS, 119, 111
- Leroy, A. K., Hughes, A., Schruba, A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 831, 16
- Leroy, A. K., Schinnerer, E., Hughes, A., et al. 2017, ApJ, 846, 71
- Lique, F., Werfelli, G., Halvick, P., et al. 2013, JChPh, 138, 204314
- McCall, B. J., Geballe, T. R., Hinkle, K. H., & Oka, T. 1999, ApJ, 522, 338
- Muratov, A. L., Kereš, D., Faucher-Giguère, C.-A., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 454, 2691
- Narayanan, D., Conroy, C., Dave, R., Johnson, B., & Popping, G. 2018a, arXiv:1805.06905
- Narayanan, D., & Davé, R. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3601
- Narayanan, D., Davé, R., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2018b, MNRAS, 474, 1718
- Narayanan, D., Krumholz, M., Ostriker, E. C., & Hernquist, L. 2011, MNRAS, 418, 664
- Narayanan, D., & Krumholz, M. R. 2017, MNRAS, 467, 50
- Narayanan, D., Krumholz, M. R., Ostriker, E. C., & Hernquist, L. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 3127
- Nelson, R. P., & Langer, W. D. 1999, ApJ, 524, 923

- Neufeld, D. A., Goicoechea, J. R., Sonnentrucker, P., et al. 2010, A&A, 521, L10
- Neufeld, D. A., & Wolfire, M. G. 2017, ApJ, 845, 163
- Nomoto, K., Tominaga, N., Umeda, H., Kobayashi, C., & Maeda, K. 2006, NuPhA 777 424
- Offner, S. S. R., Bisbas, T. G., Bell, T. A., & Viti, S. 2014, MNRAS, 440, L81
- Olsen, K., Greve, T. R., Narayanan, D., et al. 2017, ApJ, 846, 105
- Olsen, K. P., Greve, T. R., Narayanan, D., et al. 2015, arXiv:1507.00362
- Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2006, MNRAS, 373, 1265
- Papadopoulos, P. P., & Greve, T. R. 2004, ApJL, 615, L29
- Papadopoulos, P. P., Thi, W.-F., & Viti, S. 2004, MNRAS, 351, 147
- Pineda, J. E., Caselli, P., & Goodman, A. A. 2008, ApJ, 679, 481
- Pineda, J. L., Langer, W. D., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2014, A&A, 570, A121 Pineda, J. L., Langer, W. D., Velusamy, T., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2013, A&A,
- 554, A103
- Planck Collaboration, Ade, P. A. R., Aghanim, N., et al. 2011, A&A, 536, A19
- Privon, G. C., Narayanan, D., & Davé, R. 2018, arXiv:1805.03649
- Ripple, F., Heyer, M. H., Gutermuth, R., Snell, R. L., & Brunt, C. M. 2013, **S**, 431, 1296
- Robitaille, T. P., & Whitney, B. A. 2010, ApJL, 710, L11
- Roueff, E., & Le Bourlot, J. 1990, A&A, 236, 515
- Schmidt, M. 1959, ApJ, 129, 243
- Schöier, F. L., van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 2005, A&A. 432, 369
- Schroder, K., Staemmler, V., Smith, M. D., Flower, D. R., & Jaquet, R. 1991, JPhB, 24, 2487
- Shetty, R., Glover, S. C., Dullemond, C. P., & Klessen, R. S. 2011a, MNRAS, 412, 1686
- Shetty, R., Glover, S. C., Dullemond, C. P., et al. 2011b, MNRAS, 415, 3253
- Smith, B. D., Bryan, G. L., Glover, S. C. O., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 2217
- Smith, M. W. L., Eales, S. A., Gomez, H. L., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 40
- Smith, R. J., Glover, S. C. O., Clark, P. C., Klessen, R. S., & Springel, V. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 1628
- Spaans, M., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1997, A&A, 323, 953
- Staemmler, V., & Flower, D. R. 1991, JPhB, 24, 2343
- Thompson, R., Nagamine, K., Jaacks, J., & Choi, J.-H. 2014, ApJ, 780, 145
- Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Hollenbach, D. 1985, ApJ, 291, 722
- Tomassetti, M., Porciani, C., Romano-Díaz, E., Ludlow, A. D., & Papadopoulos, P. P. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 124
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898
- van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 1988, ApJ, 334, 771
- Vutisalchavakul, N., Evans, N. J., II, & Heyer, M. 2016, ApJ, 831, 73
- Walter, F., Weiß, A., Downes, D., Decarli, R., & Henkel, C. 2011, ApJ, 730, 18
- Wernli, M., Valiron, P., Faure, A., et al. 2006, A&A, 446, 367
- Wiesenfeld, L., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2014, ApJ, 780, 183
- Wilson, N. J., & Bell, K. L. 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1027
- Wolfire, M. G., Hollenbach, D., & McKee, C. F. 2010, ApJ, 716, 1191
- Xie, T., Allen, M., & Langer, W. D. 1995, ApJ, 440, 674
- Yang, B., Stancil, P. C., Balakrishnan, N., & Forrey, R. C. 2010, ApJ, 718, 1062